Generalized Threshold Factorization with Full Collinear Dynamics.

Johannes Michel DESY Hamburg

Work in collaboration with G. Lustermans and F. Tackmann [in preparation]

Les Houches 2019



Motivation.

Drell-Yan production near threshold, $au\equiv Q^2/E_{
m cm}^2
ightarrow 1$:

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q} = \int \mathrm{d}z \, \sigma_{ij}(z) \left[f_i \otimes f_j
ight] \Big(rac{ au}{z}\Big) \ &= H_{ij}(Q) \int \mathrm{d}k^0 \, S(k^0) \left[f_i^{ ext{thr}} \otimes f_j^{ ext{thr}}
ight] \Big(au + rac{k^0}{E_{ ext{cm}}}\Big) imes \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\Big(1 - au\Big)
ight] \end{aligned}$$

[Collins, Soper, Sterman '85-'88; Sterman '86]



- For steep PDFs, the integral is dominated by $z\sim 1$ even if $\tau\sim 10^{-4}$ at the LHC
- Useful approximation at partonic level:
 - $\sigma_{ij} = H_{ij} imes S + \mathcal{O}[(1-z)^0]$
- Expansion in 1 z is key for N³LO Higgs [Anastasiou et al. '14-'19]
- Recent progress in all-order understanding of next-to-leading power $\mathcal{O}[(1-z)^0]$ [Del Duca et al. '17] [Beneke et al. '18]

Motivation.

What if we measure rapidity Y in addition?

$$egin{aligned} rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q\,\mathrm{d}Y} &= H_{ij}(Q)\int\mathrm{d}k^+\mathrm{d}k^-\,S(k^+,k^-) \ & imes f_i^{\mathrm{thr}}\Big(x_a + rac{k^-}{E_{\mathrm{cm}}}\Big)\,f_i^{\mathrm{thr}}\Big(x_b + rac{k^+}{E_{\mathrm{cm}}}\Big) imes \Big[1 + \mathcal{O}\Big(1- au\Big)\Big] \end{aligned}$$

[Catani, Trentadue '89]

[Ahmed, Banerjee, Das, Dhani, Ravindran, Smith, van Neerven '07-'18; Owens, Westmark '17]

- Measurement sets momentum fractions $x_{a,b} = rac{Q}{E_{
 m cm}} e^{\pm Y}$
- $au = x_a x_b
 ightarrow 1$ assumes $x_a
 ightarrow 1$ and $x_b
 ightarrow 1$

What happens if we relax one of these assumptions? What is the physical interpretation of that?

Johannes Michel (DESY Hamburg)

Factorization at collinear endpoint.



Hadronic final state X becomes n
-collinear near endpoint

$$p_X^{\mu} = (P_a^- - q^-, P_b^+ - q^+, p_{X,\perp}) \sim Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$$

Factorization at collinear endpoint.



Hadronic final state X becomes n
-collinear near endpoint

$$p_X^{\mu} = (P_a^- - q^-, P_b^+ - q^+, p_{X,\perp}) \sim Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$$

 \Rightarrow Resulting factorization theorem at leading power in λ :

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}q^+\mathrm{d}q^-} = H_{ij}(q^+q^-,\mu)\int\!\mathrm{d}t\,B_j\!\left(t,rac{q^+}{E_{\mathrm{cm}}},\mu
ight)f_i^{\mathrm{thr}}\!\left(rac{q^-}{E_{\mathrm{cm}}}+rac{t}{q^+q^-},\mu
ight)$$

Key step: Power counting in overall momentum conservation

$$\delta[\underbrace{(\omega_a^- - q^-)}_{\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)} + \underbrace{k_b^-}_{\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)}] \delta[\underbrace{(\omega_b^+ - q^+)}_{\mathcal{O}(1)} + \underbrace{k_b^-}_{\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)}]$$

Johannes Michel (DESY Hamburg)

Connection to endpoint DIS.



- Modes, anom. dims. & convolution structure are the same as for endpoint DIS
- $x_a \sim q^-/E_{
 m cm}
 ightarrow 1$ takes the role of $x_{
 m Bjorken}
 ightarrow 1$:

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{DY}}}{\mathrm{d}q^+\mathrm{d}q^-} &= H_{ij}(q^+q^-,\mu) & \int \mathrm{d}t\,B_j\Big(t,rac{q^+}{E_{\mathrm{cm}}},\mu\Big) & f_i^{\mathrm{thr}}\Big(rac{q^-}{E_{\mathrm{cm}}}+rac{t}{q^+q^-},\mu\Big) \ &rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{DIS}}}{\mathrm{d}x_B} &= H_{ij}(Q^2,\mu) & \int \mathrm{d}s\,J_j(s,\mu) & f_i^{\mathrm{thr}}\Big(x_B+rac{s}{Q^2},\mu\Big) \end{aligned}$$

- Second, unconstrained Bjorken fraction $x_b \sim q^+/E_{
m cm}$ is beam function argument

Measuring q_T in addition.

• Only \bar{n} -collinear radiation contributes recoil for $q_T \gtrsim \lambda Q$:

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}q^+\mathrm{d}q^-\mathrm{d}ec{q}_T} = H_{ij}\int\!\mathrm{d}t\,B_j\!\left(t,rac{q^+}{E_\mathrm{cm}},ec{q}_T
ight)f_i^\mathrm{thr}\!\left(rac{q^-}{E_\mathrm{cm}}+rac{t}{q^+q^-}
ight)$$

Same double-differential SCET_I beam function as in (q_T, \mathcal{T}_0) resummation [Jain, Procura, Waalewijn, Zeune '11-'14; Lustermans, JM, Waalewijn, Tackmann '19]

Measuring q_T in addition.

• Only \bar{n} -collinear radiation contributes recoil for $q_T \gtrsim \lambda Q$:

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}q^+\mathrm{d}q^-\mathrm{d}ec{q}_T} = H_{ij}\int\!\mathrm{d}t\,B_j\!\left(t,rac{q^+}{E_{\mathrm{cm}}},ec{q}_T
ight)f_i^{\mathrm{thr}}\!\left(rac{q^-}{E_{\mathrm{cm}}}+rac{t}{q^+q^-}
ight)$$

- Same double-differential SCET_I beam function as in (q_T, \mathcal{T}_0) resummation [Jain, Procura, Waalewijn, Zeune '11-'14; Lustermans, JM, Waalewijn, Tackmann '19]
- Change variables from (q^+,q^-) back to $(Q,Y) \leftrightarrow (x_a,x_b)$:

$$x_{a,b} = rac{Q}{E_{
m cm}} e^{\pm Y} \quad
eq \quad rac{q^{\pm}}{E_{
m cm}} = rac{\sqrt{Q^2 + q_T^2}}{E_{
m cm}} e^{\pm Y}$$

• Power-counting parameter is now $\lambda^2 \sim 1 - x_a$. Reexpand:

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x_a\mathrm{d}x_b\,\mathrm{d}ec{q}_T} = H_{ij}\int\!\mathrm{d}t\,B_j(t,x_b,ec{q}_T)\,f_i^{\mathrm{thr}}\Big(x_a+rac{q_T^2}{2Q^2}+rac{t}{Q^2}\Big)$$

• What happened here? Look at 1 - PDF argument $\sim \lambda^2$:

$$\Big(1 - rac{\sqrt{Q^2 + q_T^2} \, e^Y}{E_{
m cm}}\Big) - rac{t}{Q^2 + q_T^2} = (1 - x_a) - rac{q_T^2}{2Q^2} - rac{t}{Q^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$

Back to the inclusive spectrum.

Start from the triple-differential spectrum:

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x_a\mathrm{d}x_b\,\mathrm{d}ec{q}_T} = H_{ij}\int\!\mathrm{d}t\,B_j(t,x_b,ec{q}_T)\,f_i^{\mathrm{thr}}\Big(x_a+rac{q_T^2}{2Q^2}+rac{t}{Q^2}\Big)$$

Integrate over \vec{q}_T , shift $t' \equiv t + \frac{q_T^2}{2} \Rightarrow$ inclusive factorization theorem for (Q, Y): $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x_a \mathrm{d}x_b} = H_{ij} \int \mathrm{d}t' B'_j(t', x_b) f_i^{\mathrm{thr}} \Big(x_a + \frac{t'}{Q^2} \Big)$

Same form as $d\sigma/dq^+dq^-$, but with a new SCET_I beam function:

$$B_j'(t',x)\equiv\int\!\mathrm{d}^2ec k_T\,B_j\Bigl(t'-rac{k_T^2}{2},ec k_T,x\Bigr)$$

- ldentical RGE as $B_j(t, x)$, but different constant terms
- Calculated matching coefficient $\mathcal{I}'_{qk}(t',z)$ through $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ by projecting $\mathcal{I}_{qk}(t,z,\vec{k}_T)$ onto t' [two-loop inputs: Gaunt, Stahlhofen '14]

Power counting in the partonic cross section.

Parametrize partonic cross section as

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x_a\mathrm{d}x_b} = \int\!rac{\mathrm{d}z_a}{z_a}rac{\mathrm{d}z_b}{z_b}\,\sigma_{ij}(z_a,z_b)\,f_i\!\left(rac{x_a}{z_a}
ight)f_j\!\left(rac{x_b}{z_b}
ight)$$

Soft threshold factorization only predicts terms

 $H_{qar{q}}\, {\color{black} {m S}} \sim rac{1}{1-z_a} rac{1}{1-z_b}$ in the $qar{q}$ channel



Power counting in the partonic cross section.

Parametrize partonic cross section as

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x_a\mathrm{d}x_b} = \int\!rac{\mathrm{d}z_a}{z_a}rac{\mathrm{d}z_b}{z_b}\,\sigma_{ij}(z_a,z_b)\,f_i\!\left(rac{x_a}{z_a}
ight)f_j\!\left(rac{x_b}{z_b}
ight)$$

• Soft threshold factorization only predicts terms $H_{qar q}\,S\sim rac{1}{1-z_c}rac{1}{1-z_b}$ in the qar q channel



• Collinear endpoint factorization predicts all terms $\sim rac{F(m{z}_b)}{1-m{z}_a}$

$$\sigma_{qoldsymbol{j}}(z_a,z_b) = H_{qoldsymbol{ar{q}}}(Q^2) imes \mathcal{I}_{qoldsymbol{j}}' ig[Q^2(1-z_a),oldsymbol{z_b}ig] + \mathcal{O}[(1-z_a)^0]$$

Corollary: Soft function captures singular terms within the beam function

$${\cal I}_{ij}^\primeig(\omega k^+,z)=\delta_{ij}\,Sig[\omega(1-z),k^+ig]+{\cal O}[(1-z)^0]$$

Checked through $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

Analytic NLO check: qg.

- NNLO Drell-Yan rapidity spectrum is known analytically [Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov, Petriello '02-'03]
 - Parametrized in terms of $z = z_a z_b$ and $y \in [0, 1]$
 - Analytically expand NLO results as $z_a \rightarrow 1$ with z_b generic \rightarrow full agreement
- Instructive to look at some NLO terms explicitly:

$$= \sigma_B T_F \left\{ \delta(y) \left[2P_{qg}(z) \ln \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} + 4z(1-z) \right] + 2 P_{qg}(z) \mathcal{L}_0(y) + 4z(1-z) + 2(1-z)^2 y \right\}$$

• Most nontrivial term, with $\mathcal{L}_0(x) \equiv \left[\frac{1}{x}\right]_+$:



 z_a



1

Numerical NLO check.



[Vrap 0.9: Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov, Petriello '03]

Johannes Michel (DESY Hamburg)

Generalized Threshold Factorization.

Les Houches, June 15, 2019 9 / 1

Numerical NNLO check.



[Vrap 0.9: Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov, Petriello '03]

Johannes Michel (DESY Hamburg)

Generalized Threshold Factorization

Generalized Threshold Approximation.

So we're done factorizing. What next?

• Let's combine all our leading-power knowledge of the fixed-order cross section:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}^{\mathrm{a}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\mathrm{b}} - \sigma_{ij}^{\mathrm{s}} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

Generalized Threshold Approximation.

So we're done factorizing. What next?

• Let's combine all our leading-power knowledge of the fixed-order cross section:

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma_{ij}} = \boldsymbol{\sigma_{ij}}^{\mathrm{a}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma_{ij}}^{\mathrm{b}} - \boldsymbol{\sigma_{ij}}^{\mathrm{s}} + \mathcal{O}(1)$

Leading-power generalized threshold contains full soft NLP at fixed order:

$$\int dz_a dz_b \, \delta(z - z_a z_b) \, (1 - z_a)^{n_a} (1 - z_b)^{n_b} \sim (1 - z)^{n_a + n_b + 1}$$

NLO beyond leading power.





- Generalized threshold expansion converges faster for all *Y*
- For *qg* channel, LP_{gen} already better than N³LP_{soft}

Johannes Michel (DESY Hamburg)

NNLO approximants.



 $ightarrow \mathsf{LP}_{ ext{gen}}$ again closely tracks full

Summary.

Summary.

Generalized threshold factorization for LHC rapidity spectra:

- Extend soft factorization to full collinear dynamics at endpoint
 - Weakest known limit to have virtuals factorize in inclusive spectra
 - Offdiagonal partonic channels are captured at leading power
- Obtained & checked new beam functions through NNLO
- First application: Fixed-order approximants for Drell-Yan spectra
- Resummed phenomenology at large Y could benefit large-x PDF fits

Summary.

Generalized threshold factorization for LHC rapidity spectra:

- Extend soft factorization to full collinear dynamics at endpoint
 - Weakest known limit to have virtuals factorize in inclusive spectra
 - Offdiagonal partonic channels are captured at leading power
- Obtained & checked new beam functions through NNLO
- First application: Fixed-order approximants for Drell-Yan spectra
- Resummed phenomenology at large Y could benefit large-x PDF fits

Thank you for your attention!

... invitation for discussion on the next slide

Invitation for discussion.

Analytic NLO check: $q\bar{q}$.

$$= \sigma_B C_F \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \delta(y) + \delta(1-y) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta(1-z)(4\zeta_2 - 8) \\ + 8(1+z^2)\mathcal{L}_1(1-z) - 2\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \ln z + 2 - 2z \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

+ 2(1+z^2) $\mathcal{L}_0(1-z)[\mathcal{L}_0(y) + \mathcal{L}_0(1-y)] - 2(1-z) \right\}$
• Most nontrivial term, with $\mathcal{L}_0(x) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x} \\ + \end{bmatrix} \right\}$
- $\mathcal{L}_0(1-z)[\mathcal{L}_0(y) + \mathcal{L}_0(1-y)] dz dy$
= $\left[\frac{\pi^2}{6} \delta(1-z_a)\delta(1-z_b) - \mathcal{L}_1(1-z_a)\delta(1-z_b) + \mathcal{L}_0(1-z_a)\mathcal{L}_0(1-z_b) - \delta(1-z_a)\mathcal{L}_1(1-z_b) + \delta(1-z_a)\frac{\ln \frac{2z_b}{1-z_b}}{1-z_b} \right] dz_a dz_b + \mathcal{O}[(1-z_a)^0]$

Analytic NLO check: $q\bar{q}$.

$$= \sigma_B C_F \left\{ \left[\delta(y) + \delta(1-y) \right] \left[\delta(1-z)(4\zeta_2 - 8) + 8(1+z^2)\mathcal{L}_1(1-z) - 2\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \ln z + 2 - 2z \right] \\ + 2(1+z^2)\mathcal{L}_0(1-z)[\mathcal{L}_0(y) + \mathcal{L}_0(1-y)] - 2(1-z) \right\} \\ \bullet \text{ Most nontrivial term, with } \mathcal{L}_0(x) \equiv \left[\frac{1}{x} \right]_+ \\ \mathcal{L}_0(1-z)[\mathcal{L}_0(y) + \mathcal{L}_0(1-y)] \, dz \, dy \\ = \left[\frac{\pi^2}{6} \delta(1-z_a)\delta(1-z_b) - \mathcal{L}_1(1-z_a)\delta(1-z_b) + \mathcal{L}_0(1-z_a)\mathcal{L}_0(1-z_b) \\ - \delta(1-z_a)\mathcal{L}_1(1-z_b) + \delta(1-z_a) \frac{\ln \frac{2z_b}{1+z_b}}{1-z_b} \right] \, dz_a dz_b + \mathcal{O}[(1-z_a)^0]$$

• Several soft threshold factorizations for the rapidity spectrum neglect this term, and conclude $\sigma_{ij}(z, y) = [\delta(y) + \delta(1-y)] \sigma_{ij}^{\text{soft}}(z) + O(1)$ [Bolzoni '06; Mukherjee, Vogelsang '06; Becher, Neubert, Xu '07; Bonvini, Forte, Ridolfi '10]

Y Our results indicate that this misses leading-power soft terms already at LL.

Johannes Michel (DESY Hamburg)



NLO approximants (different scales, channels)



NLO subleading power (different scales)



Johannes Michel (DESY Hamburg)